

Pacific Grove Middle School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Pacific Grove Middle School
Street	835 Forest Avenue
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Phone Number	831.646.6568
Principal	Sean Roach
E-mail Address	sroach@pgusd.org
Web Site	http://pgmiddle.pgusd.org/
CDS Code	27-66134-6058754

District Contact Information	
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District
Phone Number	831.646.6520
Superintendent	Ralph Porras
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org
Web Site	ww.pgusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

The mission of Pacific Grove Middle School is to provide a quality educational experience that teaches our students the skills and abilities they need to transition into high school with self-esteem, a capacity for critical thinking, a sense of responsibility, global awareness, and respect for self and others. Our staff is committed to setting and achieving high standards of academics and behavior while recognizing and responding to the individual talents and learning styles of our students.

Pacific Grove Middle School (PGMS) is the only middle school in the Pacific Grove Unified School District. The district consists of two elementary schools (K-5), one middle school (6-8), and one high school (9-12), and one community high school. It also has an adult school which oversees adult education as well as preschool education in a variety of settings. The district enjoys deep community support. Due to high property values, the district receives property tax revenue in excess of its state "revenue limit," which is based on an Average Daily Attendance (ADA) formula. Several bond measures have been passed which have provided additional funding for building improvements. Measure D, was passed in 2006, giving the district \$42 million for facility improvements. PGMS underwent many renovations using the bond funding. A new drop off area for students was added to the back of the school. Other improvements have included a new classroom for the music program and upgrades to the Performing Arts Center. The track was paved, drainage was added, the outdoor basketball courts were resurfaced, and a play structure was built. A new set of bleachers was added to the gymnasium. New windows and flooring were installed, and the exterior was painted in the summer of 2008. Our Measure D committee, comprising staff and parents, continues to work with an architect to develop and refine projects at PGMS that will be funded through Measure D. Additional projects, including modernization of science labs, home economic kitchen, school cafeteria, physical education locker rooms, and outdoor student eating areas were completed in spring 2011.

As a philosophy, PGMS seeks to instill a sense of service to each student with the purpose of helping them improve their minds, health, and character. The middle school wants to help them prepare for success in all areas of their high school education. A demanding academic program is combined with extracurricular activities and student support services. The school's Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA), School Site Council and staff have developed a strong, supportive partnership through which volunteer time and allocated funds are expended to improve the total school program. In addition, each program is reviewed for its rigor and effectiveness to make sure that we are meeting every student's needs.

The middle school years present students with profound physical, social and psychological changes. It is the bridge from childhood to early adulthood. PGMS takes pride in the achievements of its students along this journey as reflected by above-average test scores, an atmosphere of mutual respect among students and staff, regular community service participation, and a commitment to the visual and performing arts. Through these achievements, and others, PGMS graduates have begun the process of building their own bridges toward a successful future.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	151
Grade 7	186
Grade 8	159
Total Enrollment	496

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	8.7
Filipino	1.6
Hispanic or Latino	19
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1
White	59.5
Two or More Races	7.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18.5
English Learners	4.4
Students with Disabilities	11.1
Foster Youth	0.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	29	28	27	121
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/2018

All curriculum for academic areas is standards-aligned and reflect that of recent adoptions. Each student has access to a home set of books as well as a set that remains at school.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Study Sync (16-17)		0
Mathematics	Big Ideas Math (16-17)		0
Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston (07/08)		0
History-Social Science	TCI History (07/08)		0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In the 2009-2010 school year, the Measure D construction bond funds continued to improve our school. The dirt track was paved and drainage was installed, which in turn has improved the condition of the grass playing field. Also, several projects were begun at end of the school year and over the summer. These projects included, remodeled/updated science rooms, remodeled/updated home economics room, remodeled/updated kitchen facilities, construction of an outdoor eating facility/amphitheater, improvements in the PE locker room areas, and improved PE storage. The school grounds are maintained on weekly basis. We have a grounds worker assigned to our school, and he does an excellent job maintaining the safety and appearance of our landscaping. Our three-person site maintenance staff (on day, two night custodians), maintain the neatness of our facility. They also address routine maintenance issues as they arise. Although our building is old, it is in fine condition.

In 2010-11 the science rooms modernization project, the kitchen, the outdoor eating area, and home economics kitchen renovations were completed. In addition, four modular classrooms and an 18-space parking lot were constructed on middle school property. Also, new window coverings were installed in each of the classrooms. During the summer of 2010-11 new hall lockers will be installed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2018				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical			X	Lighting needs to be replaced in some hallways and exterior. Prop 39 money will be used to change to LED.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2018				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2018				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	68	75	71	73	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	54	62	57	62	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	507	489	96.45	75.26
Male	272	260	95.59	71.54

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Female	235	229	97.45	79.48
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	45	42	93.33	80.95
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	93	91	97.85	61.54
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	301	292	97.01	79.11
Two or More Races	43	42	97.67	78.57
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	109	104	95.41	49.04
English Learners	53	50	94.34	52
Students with Disabilities	56	53	94.64	28.3
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	508	491	96.65	62.32
Male	273	262	95.97	62.21
Female	235	229	97.45	62.45
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	45	43	95.56	76.74
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	93	91	97.85	51.65
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	301	292	97.01	67.12
Two or More Races	44	43	97.73	53.49
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	109	105	96.33	33.33
English Learners	53	50	94.34	34
Students with Disabilities	56	53	94.64	24.53
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	86	72	82	73	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	18.5	22.8	41.8

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents and community are an integral part of PGMS. We welcome volunteers and parents to help out in the classroom, library, and office in a variety of ways. School-wide functions (Back-to-School Night, Open House, holiday programs, parent conferences, Butterfly Parade and Bazaar, Honors Night, District Music Festival, various drama and musical productions, and Promotion) are heavily attended. PGMS has an active PTSA and School Site Council (SSC). Parents take a strong, participatory lead in assisting administration with making sound decisions for our site and students.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	1.2	0.2	3.0	1.3	1.1	2.6	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Pacific Grove Middle School keeps a comprehensive safety plan and is reviewed each year by our site safety committee and the School Site Council. The plan was most recently reviewed in October 2016. We have adopted the "Big Five" approach to emergency response and all staff receives annual training on the five types of emergency response - shelter in place, evacuation, secure campus, lockdown-barricade, and drop-cover-hold on. Our comprehensive safety plan also contains our drill schedule, school rules, and an overview of Positive Behaviors Interventions and Supports (PBIS).

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	21	3	11		19	3	7		16	7	5	
Mathematics	21	4	12		26		12		24	3	11	
Science	25	1	12		26		9		26	1	12	
Social Science	25	2	8		26	1	9		24	2	11	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	504
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.2	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	.4	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	N/A
Resource Specialist	0	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114
District	N/A	N/A	\$12,598	\$90,144
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0%	13.1%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$69,649
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	130.9%	41.2%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Categorical Programs available to Pacific Grove Middle School:

Federal:

- Title II, professional development
- Title IV, drug abuse and violence prevention programs
- Special Education, ensures students with special needs are properly assessed and serviced with a program designed to meet their needs

State:

- English Language Learners – designed to develop English fluency
- Gifted and Talented Education – identifies gifted and talented students and provides for differentiated opportunities to meet that student’s needs
- Tobacco Use Prevention Education – designed to educate students regarding the negative effects of tobacco
- School Improvement Program – a program to fund programs to improve student performance

Local:

- Measure A bond fund – passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD
- Measure A parcel tax – passed in order to fund specified programs throughout the district Measure D bond fund – passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,178	\$44,144
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,468	\$69,119
Highest Teacher Salary	\$113,392	\$86,005
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$148,898	\$106,785
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$133,988	\$111,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$171,370	\$121,395
Superintendent Salary	\$219,475	\$178,104
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Two full days are designated district-wide for staff development. Additionally, each department has been designated two complete days for curriculum planning off site during the school year. PGMS has an Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) made up of teacher leaders who assist with the training necessary to help staff shift to the Common Core State Standards. At a district-wide meeting in the Spring of 2015, the ILT decided to focus on the development of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to better use data to intervene with struggling students and ensure access for all. This vision has been will be our sole focus for the 2017-2018 school year.