

Pacific Grove High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year

Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Pacific Grove High School
Street	615 Sunset Dr.
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950-4827
Phone Number	831.646.6590
Principal	Matt Bell
E-mail Address	mbell@pgusd.org
Web Site	www.pgusd.org
CDS Code	27661340000000

District Contact Information	
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District
Phone Number	831.646.6520
Superintendent	Ralph Porras
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org
Web Site	www.pgusd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Pacific Grove High School is a comprehensive 9-12 high school, which has served students since the late 1800's. Our mission, in partnership with home and community, is to challenge every student to learn the skills, acquire the knowledge, and develop the insight and character necessary for a productive and rewarding life through a quality instructional program, a positive, safe, and stimulating environment, with a clear commitment to the worth of every individual.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	154
Grade 10	169
Grade 11	144
Grade 12	135
Total Enrollment	602

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	9.8
Filipino	1.0
Hispanic or Latino	13.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.3
White	64.6
Two or More Races	4.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13.8
English Learners	3.3
Students with Disabilities	7.3

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	30	33	0	129
Without Full Credential	1	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00	0.00
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Anthology English McDougal Littell 2007/2008 Prentice Hall Grammar 2008/2009	Yes	0
Mathematics	Pre-Calculus Pearson/Prentice Hall 2007/2008 Algebra II, Holt McDougal 2010/2011 AP Statistics; Bedford, Freeman, & Worth 2010/2011 Integrated Math I, II, Math Visions Project, Creative Commons License, 2014	Yes	0
Science	Anatomy & Physiology Pearson 2010/2011 AP Enviro Sci: Bedford, Freeman, & Worth 2010/2011 Biology, Glencoe, McGraw Hill 2014 Physics, Pearson Addison-Wesley, 2006 Chemistry, W H Freeman, 2006 Honors Chemistry, Pearson-Prentice Hall, 2011	Yes	0
History-Social Science	US History McGraw Hill 2009/2010 Government: Pearson 2010/2011 Economics: Wilson & Clark 2010/2011 AP History: Holt 2012-2013	Yes	0
Foreign Language	French and Spanish Vista Higher Learning 2012/2013	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

In 2006 voters passed Measure D, a school improvement bond that is bringing significant changes to PGHS. Major construction and renovation projects are already underway. The renovation of Breakers Stadium, small gym, dance room, new pool/tennis courts and the installation of security cameras have been completed with accolades from the entire community. Phase II was concluded in early 2011 and included totally renovated science classrooms and media and art rooms along with a remodel of our multipurpose room (Student Union) and administration building and minor improvements to heating in classrooms and water runoff around the campus. The back parking lot has been repaved and received a better design for drop-off and pick up for the students attending feeder schools. The final major project has been the completion of the swimming pool renovation in early 2014. Since then, a technology bond, Measure A, was passed in November, 2014. This bond is to be used exclusively for the update, repair, and future technological needs of the district.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: May 2013				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	69	74	79	79	82	81	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	81
All Student at the School	79
Male	81
Female	76
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	67
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	78
Two or More Races	100
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	83
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	73	78	74	76	79	76	54	56	55
Mathematics	39	45	36	65	65	66	49	50	50
History-Social Science	74	69	70	75	72	72	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	5	6	2

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

Group	Actual API Change		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	-5	17	-23
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	-5	12	-18
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		28	-43
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2013-14)

PGHS provides a variety of courses related to technical education: Computers/digital Imaging, Photography, Catering and Hospitality, Sports Medicine and Graphic Design. Our CTE department collaborates with the local community colleges to align coursework for post secondary education.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2013-14)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	589
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	99
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2013-14 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	76.00
2012-13 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	28.21

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts	79	79	83	77	77	82	56	57	56
Mathematics	81	79	83	80	78	81	58	60	62

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	18	20	62	19	45	36
All Students at the School	17	20	63	17	47	36
Male	18	26	56	20	44	36
Female	15	13	72	13	49	37
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	20	35	45	26	53	21
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White	19	16	65	16	44	39
Two or More Races		33	67	8	67	25
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	26	57	30	39	30
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	8.8	17.6	70.3

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Community and parent support for Pacific Grove high School is strong. In many ways the high school is the source of community pride. Parents, alumni, and community members are involved in numerous organizations, which supports projects to improve student achievement, allow participation in extra-curricular activities, and improve school facilities. This includes PTA, Breakers Club (parent sports boosters), Music Boosters, Alumni Association, Sober Grad Night, and P.G. Pride (parent and community fund raisers for district programs). Many businesses donate their products and facilities for fund raising activities. In addition, many school events are civic events. The Butterfly parade, Pacific Grove athletic competitions, drama productions, and Good Old Days are examples of events in which the entire community participates.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Dropout Rate	3.6	2.3	4.1	4.8	3.6	5.3	14.7	13.1	11.4
Graduation Rate	91.97	96.12	94.31	91.10	95.00	93.23	77.14	78.87	80.44

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements (Graduating Class of 2013)

Group	Graduating Class of 2013		
	School	District	State
All Students	99.15	100.81	84.56
Black or African American	100.00	100.00	75.90
American Indian or Alaska Native	100.00	100.00	77.82
Asian	100.00	100.00	92.94
Filipino	0.00	0.00	92.20
Hispanic or Latino	100.00	100.00	80.83
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.00	100.00	84.06
White	98.82	101.15	90.15
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00	89.03
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.00	104.55	82.58
English Learners	0.00	0.00	53.68
Students with Disabilities	100.00	100.00	60.31

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	2.9	3.8	2.2	2.5	4.4	3.0	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Pacific Grove High School strives to provide a safe environment for learning. Emergency/safety drills are implemented regularly. The site emergency plan is revised annually. The school's comprehensive safety plan is updated annually by the Safety Committee. The site designed a disaster plan with help from local emergency agencies. Two Campus Supervisors have been hired to patrol the campus.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement*		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	100.0

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

* DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2011-12				2012-13				2013-14			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	23.7	8	14	0	24	7	17	1	23	8	17	1
Mathematics	21.3	11	8	1	26	5	15	1	22	12	12	
Science	23.7	4	13	0	28	4	10	3	25	2	17	
Social Science	22.8	9	10	0	25	9	14	4	25	7	20	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	278
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.75	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.8	---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	---
Psychologist	.5	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	0	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.5	---
Resource Specialist		---
Other		---

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114
District	---	---	\$12,598	\$78,457
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	0.0	14.2
State	---	---	\$4,690	\$63,037
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	130.9	36.9

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

PGHS provides students tutoring in math after school on each weekday.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,300	\$38,920
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,060	\$59,803
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,168	\$78,096
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$132,129	\$95,836
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,822	\$99,849
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$158,646	\$107,599
Superintendent Salary	\$203,528	\$151,912
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41	37
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	6

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2013-14)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		---
English	3	---
Fine and Performing Arts		---
Foreign Language	1	---
Mathematics	2	---
Science	2	---
Social Science	8	---
All courses	16	1.1

Note: Cells with "----" do not require data.

* Where there are student course enrollments.

Professional Development

PGHS is currently providing staff development in building Professional Learning Communities as well as in Common Core. In January 2013, several teachers attended a training with Rick and Rebecca DuFour and presented the information to our staff when they returned. Collaboration days have been built into the bell schedule so that teachers can analyze student data and adjust instruction so that students can attain mastery of the subject matter. The schedule allows teachers to meet for approximately 45 minutes each Wednesday morning. Plans for the 2014-15 school year include development of the Instructional leadership team (ILT) to lead school-wide professional development for the alignment of the CA Common Core State Standards. The instructional leadership team works with a professional consultant in key instructional shifts in English language arts, literacy in content areas and math. A PGHS math instructor was provided a .4 FTE to develop and implement the Integrated Math I, II, and III courses for 2013-14, 14-15, and 15-16.