# School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

# I. Data and Access

## **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **II. About This School**

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

	School	District			
School Name	Pacific Grove Middle School	District Name Pacific Grove Unified School District			
Street	835 Forest Avenue	Phone Number	831.646.6520		
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950	Web Site	ww.pgusd.org		
Phone Number	831.646.6568	Superintendent	Ralph Porras		
Principal	Buck Roggeman	E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org		
E-mail Address	broggeman@pgusd.org	CDS Code	27661340000000		

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

The mission of Pacific Grove Middle School is to provide a quality educational experience that teaches our students the skills and abilities they need to transition into high school with self-esteem, a capacity for critical thinking, a sense of responsibility, global awareness, and respect for self and others. Our staff is committed to setting and achieving high standards of academics and behavior while recognizing and responding to the individual talents and learning styles of our students.

Pacific Grove Middle School (PGMS) is the only middle school in the Pacific Grove Unified School District. The district consists of two elementary schools (K-5), one middle school (6-8), and one high school (9-12), and one community high school. It also has an adult school which oversees adult education as well as preschool education in a variety of settings. The district enjoys deep community support and, until recently, has been experiencing declining enrollment. Due to high property values, the district receives property tax revenue in excess of its state "revenue limit," which is based on an Average Daily Attendance (ADA) formula. Several bond measures have been passed which have provided additional funding for building improvements. Parcel taxes have been approved to allow restoration of programs and personnel that had been previously cut. Measure D, was passed in 2006, giving the district \$42 million for facility improvements. The first of these improvements at PGMS has been a new classroom for the music program and improvements to the Performing Arts Center. The track was paved, drainage was added, and the outdoor basketball courts were resurfaced. A new set of bleachers was added to the gymnasium. New windows and flooring were installed, and the exterior was painted in the summer of 2008. Our Measure D committee, comprising staff and parents, continues to work with an architect to develop and refine projects at PGMS that will be funded through Measure D. Additional projects, including modernization of science labs, home economic kitchen, school cafeteria, physical education locker rooms, and outdoor student eating areas were completed in spring 2011.

As a philosophy, PGMS seeks to give each student a variety of experiences with the purpose of helping them improve their minds, health, and character. The middle school wants to help them prepare for success in all areas of their high school education. A demanding academic program is combined with extracurricular activities and student support services. The school's Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA), School Site Council and staff have developed a strong, supportive partnership through which volunteer time and allocated funds are expended to improve the total school program. In addition, each program is reviewed for its rigor and effectiveness to make sure that we are meeting every student's needs.

The middle school years present students with profound physical, social and psychological changes. It is the bridge from childhood to early adulthood. PGMS takes pride in the achievements of its students along this journey as reflected by above-average test scores, a well-behaved student body, regular community service participation, and a commitment to the visual and performing arts. Through these achievements, and others, PGMS graduates have begun the process of building their own bridges toward a successful future.

Due to our high 2007/08 test scores, PGMS was awarded California Distinguished School honors. Detailed analysis as well as a school overview was submitted in response to this opportunity for review in December 2008. A validation team visited PGMS in February 2009 to interview students, parents, and staff about our improved math scores and our support systems that serve our academically at-risk students.

# Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents and community are an integral part of PGMS. We welcome volunteers and parents to help out in the classroom, library, and office in a variety of ways. School-wide functions (Back-to-School Night, Open House, holiday programs, parent conferences, Butterfly Parade and Bazaar, Honors Night, District Music Festival, various drama and musical productions, and Promotion) are heavily attended. PGMS has an active PTSA, School Site Council (SSC), and Measure D Committee. Parents take a strong, participatory lead in assisting administration with making sound decisions for our site and students.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	122
Grade 7	46
Grade 8	139
Grade 9	89
Grade 10	92
Total Enrollment	488

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.2	White	67.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	Two or More Races	2.5
Asian	12.1	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7.4
Filipino	0.4	English Learners	5.1
Hispanic or Latino	11.9	Students with Disabilities	7.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
Subject	Avg.			Avg.			Avg. Number of Classroon		srooms			
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	23	4	7	0					23.6	3	9	0
Mathematics	18	12	3	0					22.9	4	9	0
Science	23.8	3	8	0					23.3	4	8	0
Social Science	22.2	4	8	0					25.6	1	10	0

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

# **III. School Climate**

# School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The school maintains a safety plan that is updated annually.

**Suspensions and Expulsions** 

Poto		School		District			
Rate	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
Suspensions	19.12	24.38	17.83	8.16	26.39	17.56	
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0.11	0	

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

# IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- · Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- · The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

# Year and month in which data were collected: 2011 May

In the 2009-2010 school year, the Measure D construction bond funds continued to improve our school. The dirt track was paved and drainage was installed, which in turn has improved the condition of the grass playing field. Also, several projects were begun at end of the school year and over the summer. These projects included, remodeled/updated science rooms, remodeled/updated home economics room, remodeled/updated kitchen facilities, construction of an outdoor eating facility/amphitheater, improvements in the PE locker room areas, and improved PE storage. The school grounds are maintained on weekly basis. We have a grounds worker assigned to our school, and he does an excellent job maintaining the safety and appearance of our landscaping. Our three-person site maintenance staff (on day, two night custodians), maintain the neatness of our facility. They also address routine maintenance issues as they arise. Although our building is old, it is in fine condition.

In 2010-11 the science rooms modernization project, the kitchen, the outdoor eating area, and home economics kitchen renovations were completed. In addition, four modular classrooms and an 18-space parking lot were constructed on middle school property. Also, new window coverings were installed in each of the classrooms. During the summer of 2010-11 new hall lockers will be installed.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Determination of repair status for systems listed
- · Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

Cyatam Ingressed		Repair S	Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

# V. Teachers

# **Teacher Credentials**

Tanahana		District		
Teachers	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	25	23	23	112
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	5
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	

**Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions** 

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	1	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	1	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0	

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

# Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg/

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by				
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers			
This School	100	0			
All Schools in District	100	0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

# **VI. Support Staff**

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	383
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.2	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.8	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	
Psychologist	.4	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

<sup>\*</sup> One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

# VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

## Year and month in which data were collected:

All curriculum for academic areas is standards-aligned and reflect that of recent adoptions. Each student has access to a home set of books as well as a set that remains at school.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougall Littell (06/07)		0
Mathematics	Pearson/Prentice Hall (08/09)		0
Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston (07/08)		0
History-Social Science	TCI History (07/08)		0

## VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114
District			\$12,598	\$76,111
Percent Difference: School Site and District			0.0%	13.1%
State			\$5,455	\$60,994
Percent Difference: School Site and State			130.9%	41.2%

<sup>\*</sup> Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Categorical Programs available to Pacific Grove Middle School:

#### Federal:

- Title II, professional development
- Title IV, drug abuse and violence prevention programs
- Special Education, ensures students with special needs are properly assessed and serviced with a program designed to meet their needs

#### State:

- English Language Learners designed to develop English fluency
- Gifted and Talented Education identifies gifted and talented students and provides for differentiated opportunities to meet that student's needs.
- Tobacco Use Prevention Education designed to educate students regarding the negative effects of tobacco
- School Improvement Program a program to fund programs to improve student performance

#### I ocal:

- Measure A bond fund passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD
- Measure A parcel tax passed in order to fund specified programs throughout the district Measure D bond fund passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD

<sup>\*\*</sup> Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,205	\$39,074
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,130	\$60,172
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,634	\$78,468
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$127,549	\$95,926
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$122,311	\$99,356
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$153,148	\$107,041
Superintendent Salary	\$186,880	\$148,555
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

<sup>\*</sup> For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven;
   science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for
  grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
  and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
  achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
  for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent
  them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	76	84	79	74	76	76	49	52	54
Mathematics	64	74	68	58	64	65	46	48	50
Science	72	84	88	73	79	80	50	54	57
History-Social Science	70	78	80	70	73	75	41	44	48

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science				
All Students in the LEA	76	65	80	75				
All Student at the School	79	68	88	80				
Male	74	67	87	81				
Female	84	69	90	79				
Black or African American	0	0	0	0				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0				
Asian	92	79	100	92				
Filipino	0	0	0	0				
Hispanic or Latino	55	51	86	76				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				
White	79	69	85	77				
Two or More Races	91	77	100	92				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54	23	0	0				
English Learners	0	0	0	0				
Students with Disabilities	44	28	0	31				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services								

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **California High School Exit Examination**

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubicat	School		District			State			
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts				82	80	83	52	54	59
Mathematics				78	79	76	53	54	56

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Camornia riigii Gonoor Exit Examination Grade rei		sh-Language	•		Mathematics	
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	17	25	59	24	42	33
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
5	0	50	50				
7	17.2	25.8	47.7				

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010	
Statewide	10	9	10	
Similar Schools	7	5	7	

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change						
Group	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11				
All Students at the School	-4	33	-18				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino							
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	-1	30	-23				
Two or More Races	N/D						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged							
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

# Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2011 Growth API								
Group	Sch	ool	LE	A	State				
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API			
All Students at the School	389	887	1,304	877	4,682,045	779			
Black or African American	8		24	812	318,776	696			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		6		33,743	733			
Asian	37	965	131	932	399,005	898			
Filipino	4		12	895	123,217	860			
Hispanic or Latino	48	789	194	814	2,410,191	729			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	5		11	869	26,949	764			
White	252	889	835	882	1,259,004	845			
Two or More Races	14	958	49	910	76,497	836			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	63	827	230	803	2,757,404	726			
English Learners	35	775	127	820	1,544,531	706			
Students with Disabilities	40	629	139	684	522,262	595			

# **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- · Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

# Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		0

# XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

#### Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

## **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

# **California State University**

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- · Specific high school courses
- · Grades in specified courses and test scores
- · Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

**Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate** 

lu dia eta u		School		District			State		
Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	0	0	0	0.3	1.3	1.7	4.9	5.7	4.6
Graduation Rate				96.3	96.10	94.93	80.21	78.59	80.53

<sup>\*</sup> The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

# **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010-11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including

having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011		
	School	District	State
All Students			N/D
Black or African American			N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native			N/D
Asian			N/D
Filipino			N/D
Hispanic or Latino			N/D
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			N/D
White			N/D
Two or More Races			N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			N/D
English Learners			N/D
Students with Disabilities			N/D

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

# Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to
  defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010-11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2009-10)

200		
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent	
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0	
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0	

**Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010-11)** 

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English		
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science		
All courses		

# XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Two full days are designated district-wide for staff development. Additionally, each department has been designated two complete days for curriculum planning off site during the school year.