# Pacific Grove Middle School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

**Published During 2013-14** 

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
  office.

# I. Data and Access

## **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **Additional Information**

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

# II. About This School

# Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	Pacific Grove Middle School				
Street	835 Forest Avenue				
City, State, Zip	Pacific Grove, CA 93950				
Phone Number	831.646.6568				
Principal	Buck Roggeman				
E-mail Address	broggeman@pgusd.org				
CDS Code	27661340000000				

District Contact Information				
District Name	Pacific Grove Unified School District			
Phone Number	831.646.6520			
Web Site	ww.pgusd.org			
Superintendent	Ralph Porras			
E-mail Address	rporras@pgusd.org			

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

The mission of Pacific Grove Middle School is to provide a quality educational experience that teaches our students the skills and abilities they need to transition into high school with self-esteem, a capacity for critical thinking, a sense of responsibility, global awareness, and respect for self and others. Our staff is committed to setting and achieving high standards of academics and behavior while recognizing and responding to the individual talents and learning styles of our students.

Pacific Grove Middle School (PGMS) is the only middle school in the Pacific Grove Unified School District. The district consists of two elementary schools (K-5), one middle school (6-8), and one high school (9-12), and one community high school. It also has an adult school which oversees adult education as well as preschool education in a variety of settings. The district enjoys deep community support. Due to high property values, the district receives property tax revenue in excess of its state "revenue limit," which is based on an Average Daily Attendance (ADA) formula. Several bond measures have been passed which have provided additional funding for building improvements. Measure D, was passed in 2006, giving the district \$42 million for facility improvements. PGMS underwent many renovations using the bond funding. A new drop off area for students was added to the back of the school. Other improvements have included a new classroom for the music program and upgrades to the Performing Arts Center. The track was paved, drainage was added, the outdoor basketball courts were resurfaced, and a play structure was built. A new set of bleachers was added to the gymnasium. New windows and flooring were installed, and the exterior was painted in the summer of 2008. Our Measure D committee, comprising staff and parents, continues to work with an architect to develop and refine projects at PGMS that will be funded through Measure D. Additional projects, including modernization of science labs, home economic kitchen, school cafeteria, physical education locker rooms, and outdoor student eating areas were completed in spring 2011.

As a philosophy, PGMS seeks to instill a sense of service to each student with the purpose of helping them improve their minds, health, and character. The middle school wants to help them prepare for success in all areas of their high school education. A demanding academic program is combined with extracurricular activities and student support services. The school's Parent Teacher Student Association (PTSA), School Site Council and staff have developed a strong, supportive partnership through which volunteer time and allocated funds are expended to improve the total school program. In addition, each program is reviewed for its rigor and effectiveness to make sure that we are meeting every student's needs.

The middle school years present students with profound physical, social and psychological changes. It is the bridge from childhood to early adulthood. PGMS takes pride in the achievements of its students along this journey as reflected by above-average test scores, an atmosphere of mutual respect among students and staff, regular community service participation, and a commitment to the visual and performing arts. Through these achievements, and others, PGMS graduates have begun the process of building their own bridges toward a successful future.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)**

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents and community are an integral part of PGMS. We welcome volunteers and parents to help out in the classroom, library, and office in a variety of ways. School-wide functions (Back-to-School Night, Open House, holiday programs, parent conferences, Butterfly Parade and Bazaar, Honors Night, District Music Festival, various drama and musical productions, and Promotion) are heavily attended. PGMS has an active PTSA and School Site Council (SSC). Parents take a strong, participatory lead in assisting administration with making sound decisions for our site and students.

# **III. Student Performance**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Standardized resting and reporting results for All Stadents - Three-rear Companson									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School		District		State				
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	79	79	77	76	79	76	54	56	55
Mathematics	68	62	67	65	65	66	49	50	50
Science	88	85	88	80	79	82	57	60	59
History-Social Science	80	80	78	75	72	72	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	76	66	82	72		
All Student at the School	77	67	88	78		
Male	72	68	93	79		
Female	82	67	83	78		
Black or African American	47	53				
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	88	90	100	92		
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	58	39	78	57		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	82	71	90	82		
Two or More Races	83	73				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	41	76	60		
English Learners	48	43				
Students with Disabilities	55	34		27		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## **California High School Exit Examination**

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB).

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

## California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students - Three-Year Comparison

Camornia riigh school Exit Examination results for All Grade ren stadents - Three real Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject		School		District		State			
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts				83	77	77	59	56	57
Mathematics				76	80	78	56	58	60

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

	Engl	ish-Language	Arts	Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	23	23	54	22	48	30
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Perce	nt of Students Meeting Fitness Star	ndards
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	19.0	29.1	30.4

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# IV. Accountability

## **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012	
Statewide	10	9	9	
Similar Schools	7	9	8	

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

	Actual API Change						
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
All Students at the School	-18	-4	-7				
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Asian							
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino			-19				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander							
White	-23	1	1				
Two or More Races							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		-36	-11				
English Learners							
Students with Disabilities							

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2013 Growth API							
Group	School		Dist	rict	Sta	State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School	437	885	1,499	875	4,655,989	790		
Black or African American	10		30	760	296,463	708		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		7		30,394	743		
Asian	49	964	131	931	406,527	906		
Filipino	6		14	811	121,054	867		
Hispanic or Latino	80	810	272	816	2,438,951	744		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	5		16	911	25,351	774		
White	265	898	933	885	1,200,127	853		
Two or More Races	15	939	75	909	125,025	824		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92	794	315	806	2,774,640	743		
English Learners	45	823	140	811	1,482,316	721		
Students with Disabilities	55	668	162	675	527,476	615		

# **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

# Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		100.0

# V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	147
Grade 7	158
Grade 8	156
Grade 9	1
Total Enrollment	462

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.2	White	60.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2	Two or More Races	3.2
Asian	11.7	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22.5
Filipino	1.3	English Learners	10.4
Hispanic or Latino	17.3	Students with Disabilities	11.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.1		

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)** 

	2010-11			2011-12			2012-13					
Grade	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	rooms
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К												
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6									19	21	24	3
Other												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2010-11			2011-12				2012-13				
Subject	Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Avg. Number of Classrooms			Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms		
Subject	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	23.6	3	9	0	23.3	5	9	0	18	6	12	
Mathematics	22.9	4	9	0	23	6	8	0	21	6	9	
Science	23.3	4	8	0	24.3	2	10	0	24	2	11	
Social Science	25.6	1	10	0	25.1	1	11	0	24	3	10	·

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The school maintains a safety plan that is updated annually.

## **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Doto		School		District			
Rate	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Suspensions	17.83	13.7	17.7	17.56	.10	7.68	
Expulsions	0	.22	2	0	.05	.10	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

# VI. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

In the 2009-2010 school year, the Measure D construction bond funds continued to improve our school. The dirt track was paved and drainage was installed, which in turn has improved the condition of the grass playing field. Also, several projects were begun at end of the school year and over the summer. These projects included, remodeled/updated science rooms, remodeled/updated home economics room, remodeled/updated kitchen facilities, construction of an outdoor eating facility/amphitheater, improvements in the PE locker room areas, and improved PE storage. The school grounds are maintained on weekly basis. We have a grounds worker assigned to our school, and he does an excellent job maintaining the safety and appearance of our landscaping. Our three-person site maintenance staff (on day, two night custodians), maintain the neatness of our facility. They also address routine maintenance issues as they arise. Although our building is old, it is in fine condition.

In 2010-11 the science rooms modernization project, the kitchen, the outdoor eating area, and home economics kitchen renovations were completed. In addition, four modular classrooms and an 18-space parking lot were constructed on middle school property. Also, new window coverings were installed in each of the classrooms. During the summer of 2010-11 new hall lockers will be installed.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)  Year and month in which data were collected: 2011 May							
System Inspected	R	epair Statu	ıs	Repair Needed and			
System inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]				
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]				

# **Overall Facility Rate**

Overell Beties	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

# VII. Teachers

# **Teacher Credentials**

T		District		
Teachers	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	23	23	25	105
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

## **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100.0	0.0			
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# **VIII. Support Staff**

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	1	458		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.2			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1.0			
Psychologist	.4			
Social Worker	0			
Nurse	.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4			
Resource Specialist	0			
Other	0			

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

# IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

## Year and month in which data were collected:

All curriculum for academic areas is standards-aligned and reflect that of recent adoptions. Each student has access to a home set of books as well as a set that remains at school.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McDougall Littell (06/07)		0
Mathematics	Pearson/Prentice Hall (08/09)		0
Science	Holt, Rinehart & Winston (07/08)		0
History-Social Science	TCI History (07/08)		0

# X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$13,065	\$467	\$12,598	\$86,114	
District			\$12,598	\$76,460	
Percent Difference: School Site and District			0.0%	13.1%	
State			\$5,537	\$63,166	
Percent Difference: School Site and State			130.9%	41.2%	

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Categorical Programs available to Pacific Grove Middle School:

# Federal:

- Title II, professional development
- Title IV, drug abuse and violence prevention programs
- Special Education, ensures students with special needs are properly assessed and serviced with a program designed to meet their needs

#### State:

- English Language Learners designed to develop English fluency
- Gifted and Talented Education identifies gifted and talented students and provides for differentiated opportunities to meet that student's needs
- Tobacco Use Prevention Education designed to educate students regarding the negative effects of tobacco
- School Improvement Program a program to fund programs to improve student performance

# Local:

- Measure A bond fund passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD
- Measure A parcel tax passed in order to fund specified programs throughout the district Measure D bond fund passed in order to improve the physical structures in PGUSD

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,486	\$38,578
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,628	\$59,799
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,288	\$78,044
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$130,240	\$95,442
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$122,052	\$98,080
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$156,378	\$106,787
Superintendent Salary	\$197,441	\$150,595
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.1%	37.1%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	5.9%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

## **Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities**

## **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information Web page at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/.

## **California State University**

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU Web page at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml.

## **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate**

la dianta a	School		District		State				
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
<b>Dropout Rate</b>							16.60	14.70	13.10
<b>Graduation Rate</b>				94.93	91.10	95.00	80.53	77.14	78.73

## **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012			
	School	District	State	
All Students				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

## **Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2012-13)**

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

## Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2012-13)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	

## Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

## Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English		
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science		
All courses		

<sup>\*</sup> Where there are student course enrollments.

# XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Two full days are designated district-wide for staff development. Additionally, each department has been designated two complete days for curriculum planning off site during the school year. This year, an Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) was implemented at PGMS. The role of the team is to assist with the training necessary to help staff shift to the Common Core State Standards. At a district-wide meeting in the Spring of 2013, the ILT decided to focus on the literacy shift in the CCSS focusing on making regular use of complex text and academic vocabulary. This vision has been driving professional development for the 2013-2014 school year.